

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/669,222	ALLEN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Helen F. Pratt	1761	

**All Participants:**

(1) Helen F. Pratt.

(2) Everett Diedericks.

**Status of Application:** after final

(3) Joy Wilson.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 22 February 2007

**Time:** 10:30

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*all*

Claims discussed:

*all*

Prior art documents discussed:

*all*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants' representative said that the limitations of claim 38 could be put into the independent claims, since that limitation had been indicated as allowable by Examiner's amendment and directed that other informalities could be corrected, and that the dependencies of claims could be changed due to cancellation of some claims. See Examiner's Amendment. .